

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,234	09/07/2000	James Patrick Allen	ROC9-2000-0220-US1	1600
. 7590 09/11/2007 Joan Pennington			EXAMINER	
535 North Michigan Avenue			ENGLAND, DAVID E	
Unit 1804 Chicago, IL 60611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/657,234	ALLEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E. England	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the solution of the sol	N. imely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 June 2007</u> .					
· <u> </u>	, -					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 – 4, 6, and 8 – 18 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 – 4, 6, and 8 – 18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ Π 1-1- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(DTO 440)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4, 6, and 8-18 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunlock (6952734) in view of Berman (7012914) in further view of McCarty (6356944) and T11/99-594v2.
- 4. Referencing claim 1, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Gunlock teaches a storage area network (SAN) configuration method via enabling in-band communications comprising the steps of:
- 5. utilizing a SAN application for managing and configuring the storage area network, (e.g., col. 8, lines 1-48);
- 6. said SAN application for communicating with at least one SAN-connected host system and for communicating with a host bus adapter (HBA) device driver, (e.g., col. 8, lines 1 48), and

Application/Control Number: 09/657,234

Art Unit: 2143

7. providing a pass through in said HBA device driver for passing communications to a designated device in the storage area network from said SAN application including at least one topology analysis command, (e.g., col. 8, lines 1-48),

Page 3

- 8. said at least one topology analysis command including a command to get interconnect information and a command to get topology information, (e.g., col. 8, lines 1-48);
- 9. but does not specifically teach a type of management program;
- 10. providing said pass through includes providing at least a transport pass through and an extended link service (ELS) pass through;
- 11. each of said transport pass through and said extended link service (ELS) pass through being a binary pass through, each taking applied commands and passing said commands to said designated device in the storage area network.
- 12. Berman teaches a type of management program, (e.g., col. 11, lines 51 67);
- 13. providing said pass through includes providing at least a transport pass through and an extended link service (ELS) pass through, (e.g., col. 9, lines 13 20);
- 14. each of said transport pass through and said extended link service (ELS) pass through being a binary pass through, each taking applied commands and passing said commands to said designated device in the storage area network, (e.g., col. 9, lines 13 20).
- 15. McCarty teaches providing said pass through includes providing at least a transport pass through, (e.g., col. 9, lines 38 61).
- 16. Furthermore, T11/99-594v2 teaches the standard topology discover extended link service known as Request Topology Information (RTIN). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Berman, McCarty

Art Unit: 2143

and T11/99-594v2 with Gunlock because utilizing a pass through allows the system to send out specific protocol requests that can be responded to by other, similar devices on the network which ensures that proper queries of information are compatible between other neighboring nodes in the network. Furthermore utilizing a standard such as a link service or an Extended link service to implement a request would only take one of ordinary skill in the art to perform.

- 17. As per claim 4, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Gunlock teaches as the step of providing predefined protocol functions for communicating with said device in the storage area network include the step of providing a transport protocol function, (e.g., col. 8, lines 1 48), but does not teach an extended link service (ELS) protocol function. Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 teach an extended link service (ELS) protocol function, (e.g., as stated above). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 with Gunlock because it would be more efficient for a system to utilize ELS for responding to a payload translation during a public-to-private translation or private-to-public.
- 18. Referencing claim 6, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Gunlock and McCarty teach the step of providing said pass through in said host bus adapter (HBA) device driver through a host bus adapter (HBA) for passing communications to a device in the storage area network from said SAN management application includes the step of providing said pass through for passing a plurality of commands, (cited areas stated above). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/657,234

Art Unit: 2143

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 with Gunlock because of similar reasons stated above.

Page 5

- 19. As per claim 8, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Gunlock does not specifically teach the step of providing said pass through for passing at least one performance analysis command. Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 teach the step of providing said pass through for passing at least one performance analysis command, (cited areas stated above). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 with Gunlock because of similar reasons stated above and furthermore, it would be more efficient for a system to analyze the performance of a system in order to make sure that the system is running properly and when errors occur, they are easily identified and taken care of.
- 20. Claims 9 14 and 16 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above including claims 1, 6 and 10.
- 21. Claim 15 is rejected for similar reasons stated above.
- Claims 2, 3, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gunlock, Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 as applied to the claims above and in further view of Haren (6557060).

Art Unit: 2143

- As per claim 2, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Gunlock, Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 teaches the step of utilizing said SAN management application for communicating with a HBA device driver includes the step of providing a management application agent coupled between an end user and a storage device, (e.g. col. 1, lines 35 45, "transfer agent"), but does not specifically teach the agent coupled between the management application and said HBA device driver. Haren teaches the agent coupled between the management application and said HBA device driver, (e.g. col. 4, lines 1 28, "...agent (e.g. I/O controller)..." & Figures 1 and 2). It would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Haren with the combine system of Gunlock, Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 because an agent could perform management functions concerning which storage units and transmission information are within the scope or not within the scope for each node.
- 24. As per claim 3, as closely interpreted by the Examiner, Gunlock, Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 do not specifically teach the step of utilizing said management application agent for providing predefined, fibre channel, protocol functions for communicating with said device in the storage area network. Haren teaches the step of utilizing said management application agent for providing predefined, fibre channel, protocol functions for communicating with said device in the storage area network, (e.g. col. 4, lines 1 28, "...agent (e.g. I/O controller)..."). It would have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Haren with the combine system of Gunlock, Berman, McCarty and T11/99-594v2 because of similar reasons as stated above.

25. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected for similar reasons as stated above.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 06/22/2007 have been fully considered but they are not 26. persuasive.
- 27. In the Remarks, Applicant cites areas of the prior art and argues in substance that Gunlock, Berman, McCarty and cited publication T11/99-594v2 do not teach the limitations stated in claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 – 16. Furthermore, Haren does not make up the lacking of the other cited prior art.
- 28. Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. There is no comparing or referencing claim language with the prior art or pointing to what is specifically lacking in the prior art.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 29. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/657,234

Art Unit: 2143

Page 8

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to David E. England whose telephone number is 571-272-3912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur, 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David E. England

Examiner

Art Unit 2143

DE DE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY COURSE